# Granatumins A-G, Limonoids from the Seeds of a Krishna Mangrove, Xylocarpus granatum

Min-Yi Li,<sup>†,</sup> Xiao-Bo Yang,<sup>‡</sup> Jian-Yu Pan,<sup>§</sup> Gang Feng,<sup>⊥</sup> Qiang Xiao,<sup>||</sup> Jari Sinkkonen,<sup>°</sup> Tirumani Satyanandamurty,<sup>¶</sup> and Jun Wu<sup>\*,†</sup>

Key Laboratory of Marine Bio-resources Sustainable Utilization, South China Sea Institute of Oceanology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, 164 West Xingang Road, Guangzhou 510301, People's Republic of China, College of Chinese Medicinal Materials, Jilin Agricultural University, Changchun 130118, People's Republic of China, Institute of Medicinal Plant Development, Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences and Peking Union Medical College, Beijing 100193, People's Republic of China, Environment and Plant Protection Institute, Academy of Tropical Agriculture Sciences of China, Danzhou in Hainan Province, 571737, People's Republic of China, Institute of Organic Chemistry, Jiangxi Science & Technology Normal University, Nanchang 330013, People's Republic of China, Graduate University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, 19 Yuquan Road, Beijing 100049, People's Republic of China, Laboratory of Organic Chemistry and Chemical Biology, Department of Chemistry, University of Turku, Turku 20014, Finland, and Government Degree College at Amadala valasa, Srikakulam District, Andhra Pradesh, India

## Received October 5, 2009

Seven new limonoids (1–7), named granatumins A–G, were isolated from seeds of an Indian mangrove (*Xylocarpus granatum*) collected from the wetlands of Krishna estuary, Andhra Pradesh. The known compounds khayasin T, tigloylseneganolide A, 6-deoxyswietenine, swietemahonolide, febrifugin A, gedunin, xylogranatinin, phaseic acid, (2*R*,3*R*)-3,4',5,7-tetrahydroxyflavanone, and (*E*)-4-hydroxycinnamic acid were also isolated. The structures were established on the basis of spectroscopic data. Granatumins A and B are mexicanolides with *endo*-conjugated  $\Delta^{8,30}$  and  $\Delta^{14,15}$  double bonds, and granatumins F and G are polyhydroxylated phragmalins found previously in plants of the Meliaceae. Khayasin T exhibited moderate insecticidal activity against fifth instar larvae of *Brontispa longissima* (Gestro) at a concentration of 20 mg/L.

Limonoids, triterpene derivatives from a precursor with a 4,4,8trimethyl-17-furanylsteroid skeleton, have been found only in plants of the order Rutales. They are classified by the type of four rings in the intact triterpene nucleus, and these are usually oxidized and designated as A, B, C, and D. The mangroves Xylocarpus granatum and X. moluccensis are known for producing antifeedant limonoids, especially mexicanolides and phragmalins. Previous investigations on the seeds of these two species yielded an obacunol, two phragmalins, three andirobins, and 14 mexicanolides, including xyloccensins A-K.<sup>1-5</sup> Previously we reported the isolation and identification of eight 8,9,30-phragmalin ortho esters and 13 limonoids from the bark and seeds of a Chinese mangrove, X. granatum.<sup>6–8</sup> To date, 42 mexicanolides and 23 phragmalins have been isolated from the wood, seeds, and fruits of X. granatum and X. moluccensis.<sup>9</sup> In the current paper we present the isolation and characterization of seven new limonoids (1-7), five mexicanolides and two phragmanlins named granatumins A-G, from seeds of the Indian mangrove Xylocarpus granatum König (Meliaceae), collected in the mangrove wetlands of Krishna estuary, Andhra Pradesh, India, together with 10 known compounds, khayasin T,<sup>10</sup> tigloylseneganolide A,<sup>11</sup> 6-deoxyswietenine,<sup>12</sup> swietemahonolide,<sup>13</sup> febrifugin A,<sup>14</sup> xylogranatinin,<sup>15</sup> gedunin,<sup>16</sup> phaseic acid,<sup>17</sup> (2*R*, 3R)-3,4',5,7-tetrahydroxyflavanone,<sup>18</sup> and (E)-4-hydroxycinnamic acid.<sup>19</sup> The structures of these compounds were established on the basis of spectroscopic data or comparison with data in the literature.

#### **Results and Discussion**

Compound **1** was obtained as a white, amorphous powder. Its molecular formula of  $C_{31}H_{36}O_8$  was established by a quasi-molecular ion peak in the HRTOFMS at m/z 559.2304 (calcd for  $[M + Na]^+$  559.2302), indicating that **1** had 14 degrees of unsaturation. The <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR data (Tables 1 and 3) of **1** indicated that nine of the 14 elements of unsaturation came from a ketone, three ester functionalities, and five carbon–carbon double bonds. Therefore the molecule was pentacyclic. The <sup>13</sup>C NMR and DEPT experiments revealed that **1** had six methyls (one OCH<sub>3</sub> and five tertiary CH<sub>3</sub> groups), four methylenes (one olefinic), 10 methines (five olefinic), and 11 quaternary carbons (four carbonyls).

The 2D NMR studies (1H-1H COSY, HSQC, HMBC) (Figure 1) of **1** indicated the presence of a ketone ( $\delta_{\rm C}$  214.2), a methoxycarbonyl group ( $\delta_H$  3.69 s,  $\delta_C$  52.0 CH<sub>3</sub>, 173.7), a methacryloyl group [ $\delta_{\rm H}$  2.03 s, 5.75 s, 6.24 s;  $\delta_{\rm C}$  18.4 CH<sub>3</sub>, 127.1 CH<sub>2</sub>, 135.6 qC, 166.2 qC], and a  $\beta$ -furanyl ring [ $\delta_{\rm H}$  6.48 br s, 7.43 br s, 7.51 br s; δ<sub>C</sub> 110.2 CH, 143.2 CH, 141.4 CH, 120.2 qC]. An  $\alpha,\beta$ -unsaturated  $\delta$ -lactone ring D, characterized by the following NMR data [ $\delta_{\rm H}$  5.15 s, 6.18 s;  $\delta_{\rm C}$  79.7 CH, 112.4 CH, 37.5 qC, 160.6 qC, 164.9 qC], was confirmed by HMBC correlations between H-15/C-13, H-15/C-14, H-15/C-16, H-17/C-13, and H-17/C-16. The above NMR studies suggested that 1 was a mexicanolide. An aliphatic methine group ( $\delta_{\rm H}$  3.73 t,  $\delta_{\rm C}$  48.9), having  ${}^{1}{\rm H}{-}{}^{1}{\rm H}$  COSY correlations to H-3 and H-30, was attributed to CH-2. Another aliphatic methine group [ $\delta_{\rm H}$  3.35 (d, J = 9.5 Hz),  $\delta_{\rm C}$  40.2], showing a <sup>1</sup>H-<sup>1</sup>H COSY correlation to H-6 and HMBC correlations to C-4, C-6, and C-10, was assigned to CH-5. Two protons [ $\delta_{\rm H}$  2.33 br s, 2.36 (d, J = 9.5 Hz)], having HMBC correlations to C-5 and C-7, were attributed to H<sub>2</sub>-6. An oxygenated methine group [ $\delta_{\rm H}$  4.91 (d, J = 9.0 Hz),  $\delta_{\rm C}$  78.5 CH], exhibiting a <sup>1</sup>H<sup>-1</sup>H COSY crosspeak to H-2 and HMBC correlations to C-2 and C-4, was assigned to CH-3. A  $\Delta^{8,30}$  double bond was suggested by HMBC correlations between H-2/C-30, H-9/C-8, H-15/C-8, H-30/C-9, and H-30/C-14. The results indicated that 1 was a mexicanolide with endoconjugated  $\Delta^{8,30}$  and  $\Delta^{14,15}$  double bonds. The strong HMBC

10.1021/np900625w CCC: \$40.75

© 2009 American Chemical Society and American Society of Pharmacognosy Published on Web 11/04/2009

<sup>\*</sup> To whom correspondence should be addressed. Tel: (86-20) 8445-8442. Fax: (86-20) 8445-1672. E-mail: wwujun2003@yahoo.com.

 $<sup>^{\</sup>dagger}$  South China Sea Institute of Oceanology, Chinese Academy of Sciences.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>\*</sup> Jilin Agricultural University.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>§</sup> Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences and Peking Union Medical College.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>⊥</sup> Academy of Tropical Agriculture Sciences of China.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>II</sup> Jiangxi Science & Technology Normal University.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>▽</sup> Graduate University of Chinese Academy of Sciences.

<sup>°</sup> University of Turku.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>¶</sup> Government Degree College at Amadala valasa.

## Chart 1



Table 1. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz for 1, 3-5 and 400 MHz for 2) Data ( $\delta$ ) for Compounds 1-5 in CDCl<sub>3</sub> (J in Hz)

position	1	2	3	4	5	
2	3.73, t (7.5)	3.65, m	3.67, dd (9.5, 2.0)	3.14, m	3.56, m	
3	4.91, d (9.0)	4.90, d (9.0)	5.12, d (9.0)	4.90 d (8.5)	4.82, d (9.5)/4.85, d (9.5)	
5	3.35, d (9.5)	3.30, dd (9.0, 2.0)	3.44, d (8.5)	3.77, d (9.0)	3.30, d (10.0)/3.25, d (9.5)	
6a	2.33, br s	2.33, br s	2.29, br s	2.32, br s	2.37, br s	
6b	2.36, d (9.5)	2.37, d (9.6)	2.34, d (9.5)	2.35, d (9.0)	2.46, d (10.0)	
8				1.95, m		
9	2.29, m	2.30, m	1.99, m	1.72, m	2.23 <sup>a</sup>	
11α	1.75, m	1.74, m	1.74, m	2.30, m	2.03, dd (12.5, 4.0)	
$11\beta$	1.48, m	1.49, m	1.49, m	1.58, m	1.77, m	
12α	1.29, m	1.30, m	1.26, m	1.23, m	1.40, m	
$12\beta$	1.94, m	1.90, m	2.02, m	1.69, m	1.67, m	
14				1.89, m	2.23 <sup>a</sup>	
15α	6.18, s	6.23, s	6.12, s	2.91, dd (19.0, 7.5)	2.83, dd (18.5, 6.0)	
$15\beta$				2.36, d (19.0)	2.75, br d (18.5)	
17	5.15, s	5.14, s	5.22, s	5.81, s	5.43, s/5.55, s	
18	1.04, s	1.05, s	1.15, s	0.97, s	1.11, s	
19	1.20, s	1.19, s	1.08, s	1.09, s	1.15, s	
21	7.51, br s	7.51, br s	7.51, br s	7.72, br s	6.07, br s/6.09, br s	
22	6.48, br s	6.48, br s	6.50, br s	6.47, br s	6.20, br s/6.25, brs	
23	7.43, br s	7.43, br s	7.45, br s	7.44, br s		
28	0.80, s	0.82, s	0.82, s	0.84, s	0.85, s	
29	0.84, s	0.78, s	0.82, s	0.79, s	0.81, s/0.82, s	
30α	6.26, dd (6.0, 3.0)	6.30, dd (6.0, 3.0)	3.94, d (2.0)	1.80, m	5.31, d (7.5)/5.34, br d (5.0)	
$30\beta$				2.82, dd (15.0, 7.0)		
7-OMe	3.69, s	3.69, s	3.71, s	3.75, s	3.75, s/3.69, s	
3-Acyl-2'		2.53, m				
3'	5.75, s	1.57, m	7.07, m	6.88, m	6.98, m	
	6.24, s	1.78, m				
4'	2.03, s	1.01, t (7.5)	1.93, d (7.0)	1.77, d (7.0)	1.80, d (6.5)	
5'		1.25, d (7.0)	1.95, s	1.82, s	1.82, s/1.89, s	

<sup>a</sup> Overlapped signals assigned by <sup>1</sup>H<sup>-1</sup>H COSY, HSQC, and HMBC spectra without designating multiplicity.

correlation from H-3 of the mexicanolide nucleus to the carbonyl carbon ( $\delta_{\rm C}$  166.2) of the methacryloyl group disclosed its location at C-3.

 $\beta$ -orientation of H-5, and those between H-17/H-12 $\beta$  suggested the  $\beta$ -orientation of H-17. Thus, the relative configuration of **1**, named granatumin A, was established as shown.

The relative configuration of **1** was established on the basis of the NOESY interactions (Figure 2). The significant NOE interaction from H-3 to H<sub>3</sub>-29, but not from H-3 to H-5, helped to establish the  $3\alpha$ -H and the corresponding  $3\beta$ -O-methacryloyl group. The axial orientation of H-3 was supported by the value of its coupling constant with H-2 (J = 9 Hz). The NOE interactions between H-12 $\alpha$ /H<sub>3</sub>-18, H-9/H<sub>3</sub>-18, and H-9/H<sub>3</sub>-19 indicated their mutual *cis* relationship and the  $\alpha$ -orientation. Similarly, NOE interactions between H-5/H-11 $\beta$ , H-5/H-30, and H-5/H<sub>3</sub>-28 indicated the

Compound **2** had the molecular formula  $C_{32}H_{40}O_8$ , as established by HRTOFMS (*m*/*z* 575.2609, calcd for  $[M + Na]^+$  575.2615). The NMR data of **2** were similar to those of **1**, but revealed the presence of a 2-methylbutyryl moiety  $[\delta_H \ 1.01 \ (t, J = 7.5 \ Hz),$ 1.25 (d, *J* = 7.0 Hz), 1.57 m, 1.78 m, 2.53 m;  $\delta_C \ 12.0 \ CH_3$ , 17.1 CH<sub>3</sub>, 26.7 CH<sub>2</sub>, 41.4 CH, 175.7 qC] (Tables 1 and 3) in place of the 3 $\beta$ -methacryloyl group of **1**. The 2-methylbutyryl group was corroborated by <sup>1</sup>H<sup>-1</sup>H COSY correlations between H<sub>3</sub>-4'/H<sub>2</sub>-3', H<sub>2</sub>-3'/H-2', and H-2'/H<sub>3</sub>-5' and HMBC interactions between H<sub>3</sub>-

**Table 2.** <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Data ( $\delta$ ) for Compounds 6 and 7 (*J* in Hz)

· · ·			
position	6	7	
2	3.07, d (12.4)		
3	5.18, d (12.0)	5.04, s	
5	2.61, br s	2.53, br s	
6	6.43, br s	6.43, br s	
11α	2.23, dd (14.0, 4.0)	2.21 <sup>a</sup>	
$11\beta$	1.89, t (14.0)	1.89, t (14.0)	
12	4.89, dd (13.2, 4.0)	4.84, dd (13.5, 4.0)	
15	6.23, s	6.31, s	
17	5.92, s	5.95, s	
18	1.64, s	1.65, s	
19	1.37, s	1.41, s	
21	7.44, br s	7.43, br s	
22	6.55, br s	6.55, br s	
23	7.38, br s	7.38, br s	
28	0.95, s	0.95, s	
$29_{pro-S}$	2.30, d (10.8)	2.18, d (10.5)	
$29_{pro-R}$	1.44, d (10.8)	1.68, d (10.5)	
30	4.42, br s	4.41, br s	
7-OMe	3.74, s	3.74, s	
3-0Ac-2'	2.02, s	2.06, s	
6-OAc-2"	2.20, s	2.21, s	
12-OAc-2'''	1.55, s	1.55, s	

 $^{a}$  Overlapped signals assigned by  $^{1}$ H $^{-1}$ H COSY, HSQC, and HMBC spectra without designating multiplicity.

4'/C-3', H<sub>3</sub>-4'/C-2', H<sub>3</sub>-5'/C-2', H<sub>3</sub>-5'/C-1', and H-2'/C-1'. The HMBC cross-peak from H-3 [4.90 (d, J = 9.0 Hz)] to a carbonyl carbon placed the 2-methylbutyryl group at C-3. The significant NOE interaction observed in **2**, from H-3 to H<sub>3</sub>-29, but not from H-3 to H-5, established the  $3\beta$ -orientation of the 2-methylbutyryl group. The absolute configuration at C-2' in the 2-methylbutyryl group was determined as *S* on the basis of the positive specific rotation of the corresponding acid [[ $\alpha$ ]<sup>25</sup><sub>D</sub> +16 (*c* 0.05, Me<sub>2</sub>CO)], obtained from alkaline hydrolysis of **2**.<sup>20-22</sup> Thus, granatumin B (**2**) was identified as 3-*O*-2*S*-methylbutyryl 3-demethacryloylgranatumin A.

Compound **3** had the molecular formula  $C_{32}H_{38}O_9$ , as established by HRTOFMS. The NMR data of **3** were similar to those of swietemahonolide, <sup>13</sup> except for the presence of a  $\Delta^{14,15}$  double bond ( $\delta_H$  6.12 s;  $\delta_C$  118.8 CH, 160.9 qC). The existence of this double bond was supported by HMBC correlations between H-15/C-8, H-15/C-13, H-15/C-14, H-15/C-16, and H-30/C-14. Moreover, the  $\alpha$ -orientation of the 8,30-epoxy ring in **3** was confirmed by the NOE interaction between H-30/H-15. Therefore, granatumin C (**3**) was concluded to be 14,15-dedihydroswietemahonolide.

The NMR data of **4** ( $C_{32}H_{42}O_8$  by HRTOFMS) were similar to those of swietemahonolide,<sup>13</sup> except for the lack of the 8,30-epoxy ring [ $\delta_H$  3.22 (d, J = 2.5 Hz);  $\delta_C$  60.7, 63.4 in swietemahonolide], which was confirmed by carbon multiplicities observed in the DEPT experiment. Significant NOE interactions observed in **4** between H-9/H<sub>3</sub>-19, H-14/H<sub>3</sub>-18, and H-8/H-9 established their  $\alpha$ -orientation. Thus, granatumin D (**4**) was identified as 8,30-deepoxyswietemahonolide.

Compound **5**, a white, amorphous powder, had the molecular formula  $C_{32}H_{40}O_{10}$ . The NMR data of **5** were similar to those of febrifugin A, <sup>14</sup> except for the different  $\gamma$ -hydroxybutenolide group substituted at C-17. The NMR data of the  $\gamma$ -hydroxybutenolide group in **5**, characterized by two broad proton singlets at  $\delta_{\rm H}$  6.07/ 6.09 (H-21) and 6.20/6.25 (H-22) and by resonances at  $\delta_{\rm C}$  163.2 (C-20), 97.5/99.3 (C-21), 120.5/122.0 (C-22), and 169.4 (C-23), were the same as those of kihadanin A.<sup>23</sup> The appearance of pairs of most proton and carbon resonances in the NMR spectra of **5** suggested the presence of C-21 epimers. Thus, the structure of granatumin E (**5**) was elucidated as shown.

Compound **6** had the molecular formula  $C_{33}H_{40}O_{15}$ . The NMR data of **6** were similar to those of xyloccensin Y ( $C_{33}H_{42}O_{15}$ ),<sup>24</sup> except for the presence of a 12-acetyl group ( $\delta_H$  1.55 s;  $\delta_C$  19.9

CH<sub>3</sub>, 170.6 qC), a  $\Delta^{14,15}$  double bond ( $\delta_{\rm H}$  6.23 s;  $\delta_{\rm C}$  120.6 CH, 162.3 qC) (Tables 2 and 3), and the absence of the 30-acetyl group of xyloccensin Y ( $\delta_{\rm H}$  2.19 s;  $\delta_{\rm C}$  21.0 CH<sub>3</sub>, 171.4 qC in xyloccensin Y). The HMBC correlation from H-12 ( $\delta$  4.89 dd, 13.2, 4.0) to the acetyl carbon at  $\delta$  170.6 confirmed the location of the 12-acetyl group. The existence of the  $\Delta^{14,15}$  double bond was corroborated by HMBC correlations between H-15/C-8, H-15/C-14, H-15/C-16, H-17/C-14, and H<sub>3</sub>-18/C-14 (Figure 3). Moreover, the significant NOE interactions observed in 6 from H-30 to H-2 and H-15, but not from H-30 to H-5, H-11 $\beta$ , and H-17, established the 30 $\alpha$ -H and the corresponding  $3\beta$ -OH group (Figure 4). Similarly, NOE interactions from H-3 to Hpro-R-29, but not from H-3 to H-5, helped to establish this  $3\alpha$ -H and the corresponding  $3\beta$ -OAc group. Those between H-5/H-12β, H-5/H-17, and H-12β/H-17 indicated their mutual *cis* relationship and  $\beta$ -orientation (Figure 4). Therefore, the structure of 6, named granatumin F, was established as shown.

Compound **7** had a molecular formula of  $C_{33}H_{40}O_{16}$ , established by HRTOFMS, which suggested the presence of an additional OH group when compared to the structure of **6**. Assignment of this OH group to C-2 of **7** was corroborated by the downfield chemical shift of this carbon in **7** ( $\delta_C$  76.0 qC) and HMBC correlations from H-3 and H-30 to C-2. The relative configuration of **7** was the same as that of **6**, on the basis of NOE interactions between H-5/H-12 $\beta$ , H-5/H-17, H-17/H-21, H-15/H<sub>3</sub>-18, H-21/H<sub>3</sub>-18, and H-22/H<sub>3</sub>-18. Thus, granatumin G (**7**) was identified as 2-hydroxygranatumin F.

The obtained mexicanolides were tested for insecticidal activity using a conventional leaf disk method against the fifth instar larvae of *Brontispa longissima* (Gestro). Khayasin T, previously reported to have significant insecticidal activity against the leafcutting ants *Atta sexdens rubropilosa*,<sup>25</sup> exhibited moderate insecticidal activity at a concentration of 20 mg/L. Its lethal rates against the fifth instar larvae of *B. longissima* at exposure times of 48, 72, and 96 h were 17.4%, 27.8%, and 41.5%, respectively. The other compounds were less active. However, lethal rates of toosendanin at the same concentrations were 0%, 7.4%, and 7.4%, respectively.

### **Experimental Section**

General Experimental Procedures. Optical rotations were recorded on a Polaptronic HNQW5 automatic high-resolution polarimeter (Schmidt & Haensch Co. Ltd.). UV spectra were obtained on a Beckman DU-640 UV spectrophotometer, and MALDITOFMS spectra were measured on a Bruker APEX II spectrometer in positive ion mode. NMR spectra were recorded in CDCl<sub>3</sub> using Bruker AV-400 or AV-500 spectrometers with TMS as the internal standard. Preparative HPLC was carried out on ODS columns ( $250 \times 10$  mm i.d. and  $250 \times 4.6$ mm i.d., YMC) with a Waters 2998 photodiode array detector. For CC, silica gel (200-300 mesh) (Qingdao Mar. Chem. Ind. Co. Ltd.) and RP C<sub>18</sub> gel (Cosmosil C18-PREP 140  $\mu$ m, Nacalai Tesque, Kyoto, Japan) were used.

**Plant Material.** The seeds of *X. granatum* were collected in September 2007 at the mangrove wetlands in Krishna estuary, Andhra Pradesh, India. The identification of the plant was performed by one of the authors (T. S.). A voucher sample (No. IndianXM-02) is maintained in the Herbarium of the South China Sea Institute of Oceanology.

**Extraction and Isolation.** Dried seeds (10.0 kg) of *X. granatum* were extracted three times with 95% EtOH at room temperature. The extract was concentrated under reduced pressure, followed by suspension in H<sub>2</sub>O and extraction with EtOAc. The resulting EtOAc extract (328 g) was chromatographed on silica gel and eluted using a CHCl<sub>3</sub>-MeOH system (100:0-5:1) to yield 229 fractions. Fractions 63 to 70 (25.0 g) were combined and further purified by RP C<sub>18</sub> CC (MeCN-H<sub>2</sub>O, 50:50-100:0) to afford 82 subfractions. Subfractions 30 and 31 were combined and subjected to preparative HPLC (YMC-Pack ODS-5-A, 250 × 20 mm i.d. and 250 × 4.6 mm i.d., MeOH-H<sub>2</sub>O, 50:50 to 55: 45) to yield compounds 1 (3 mg), **2**, (5 mg), **3** (3 mg), **4**, (3 mg), **5** (2 mg), **6** (3 mg), and **7** (2 mg), khayasin T<sup>10</sup> (4 mg), tigloylseneganolide A<sup>11</sup> (4 mg), 6-deoxyswietenine<sup>12</sup> (6 mg), swietemahonolide<sup>13</sup> (5 mg), phaseic acid<sup>17</sup> (2 mg), (2*R*,3*R*)-

Table 3. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz for 1, 3–5 and 100 MHz for 2, 6–7) Data ( $\delta$ ) for Compounds 1–7 in CDCl<sub>3</sub>

	,	<b>3</b> (0, muit.)	<b>4</b> (0, mun.)	<b>5</b> (0, muit.)	<b>6</b> (0, mult.)	7 (ð, mult.)
1 214.2, qC	214.3, qC	214.2, qC	219.6, qC	216.4, qC	82.1, qC	83.8, qC
2 48.9, ĈH	49.1, ĈH	48.6, ĈH	48.9, ĈH	48.5/48.6, CH	48.3, ĈH	76.0, qC
3 78.5, CH	78.0, CH	77.1, CH	76.9, CH	77.0, CH	77.6, CH	86.4, ĈH
4 39.0, qC	38.9, qC	39.7, qC	39.8, qC	38.4/38.6, qC	46.0, qC	43.8, qC
5 40.2, ĈH	40.5, ĈH	41.8, ĈH	41.8, ĈH	42.0/42.3, ĈH	43.5, ĈH	43.6, ĈH
6 32.9, CH <sub>2</sub>	33.0, CH <sub>2</sub>	32.7, CH <sub>2</sub>	32.8, CH <sub>2</sub>	33.2/33.3, CH	71.6, CH	71.5, CH
7 173.7, qC	173.7, qC	173.5, qC	174.1, qC	176.4, qC	171.8, qC	171.9, qC
8 136.2, qC	136.0, qC	60.3, qC	36.6, ĈH	137.5/137.9, qC	70.6, qC	72.1, qC
9 54.1, CH	53.5, CH	55.9, CH	51.1, CH	56.0/56.4, CH	78.9, qC	78.0, qC
10 51.9, qC	51.8, qC	49.0, qC	50.3, qC	49.4, qC	49.9, qC	48.8, qC
11 21.8, CH <sub>2</sub>	21.4, CH <sub>2</sub>	21.0, CH <sub>2</sub>	17.8, CH <sub>2</sub>	21.1, CH <sub>2</sub>	32.1, CH <sub>2</sub>	32.1, CH <sub>2</sub>
12 32.9, CH <sub>2</sub>	32.4, CH <sub>2</sub>	33.1, CH <sub>2</sub>	34.5, CH <sub>2</sub>	34.5/34.8, CH <sub>2</sub>	68.0, CH	67.9, CH
13 37.5, qC	37.5, qC	39.1, qC	34.4, qC	36.9/37.7, qC	43.3, qC	43.3, qC
14 160.6, qC	160.6, qC	160.9, qC	41.2, CH	45.6/46.3, CH	162.3, qC	161.7, qC
15 112.4, CH	112.2, CH	118.8, CH	31.9, CH <sub>2</sub>	29.5, CH <sub>2</sub>	120.6, CH	120.9, CH
16 164.9, qC	164.8, qC	163.9, qC	170.2, qC	167.3, qC	164.8, qC	164.8, qC
17 79.7, CH	79.8, CH	79.3, CH	78.3, CH	75.5/75.7, CH	78.6, CH	78.6, CH
18 22.4, CH <sub>3</sub>	22.3, CH <sub>3</sub>	21.3, CH <sub>3</sub>	22.6, CH <sub>3</sub>	21.0, CH <sub>3</sub>	15.9, CH <sub>3</sub>	16.0, CH <sub>3</sub>
19 15.6, CH <sub>3</sub>	15.7, CH <sub>3</sub>	15.8, CH <sub>3</sub>	18.2, CH <sub>3</sub>	16.4, CH <sub>3</sub>	14.3, CH <sub>3</sub>	14.5, CH <sub>3</sub>
20 120.2, qC	120.2, qC	119.8, qC	121.2, qC	163.2, qC	121.3, qC	121.2, qC
21 141.4, CH	141.5, CH	141.7, CH	141.3, CH	97.5/99.3, CH	142.1, CH	142.1, CH
22 110.2, CH	110.2, CH	110.4, CH	109.8, CH	120.5/122.0, CH	110.4, CH	110.4, CH
23 143.2, CH	143.2, CH	143.3, CH	143.1, CH	169.4, qC	142.8, CH	142.9, CH
28 22.2, CH <sub>3</sub>	21.8, CH <sub>3</sub>	22.7, CH <sub>3</sub>	22.3, CH <sub>3</sub>	20.0, CH <sub>3</sub>	15.7, CH <sub>3</sub>	15.6, CH <sub>3</sub>
29 21.0, CH <sub>3</sub>	21.3, CH <sub>3</sub>	22.7, CH <sub>3</sub>	22.9, CH <sub>3</sub>	23.2, CH <sub>2</sub>	44.8, CH <sub>2</sub>	41.1, CH <sub>2</sub>
30 128.9, CH	129.0, CH	61.9, CH	25.8, CH <sub>2</sub>	124.1/124.5, CH	66.2, CH	68.0, CH
7-OMe 52.0, CH <sub>3</sub>	52.0, CH <sub>3</sub>	52.1, CH <sub>3</sub>	52.2, CH <sub>3</sub>	53.1, CH <sub>3</sub>	53.2, CH <sub>3</sub>	53.3, CH <sub>3</sub>
3-acyl-1' 166.2, qC	175.7, qC	166.6, qC	167.0, qC	166.8/167.1, qC	170.9, qC	170.3, qC
2′ 135.6, qC	41.4, CH	127.9, qC	128.1, qC	127.3, qC	21.6, CH <sub>3</sub>	21.6, CH <sub>3</sub>
3' 127.1, CH <sub>2</sub>	26.7, CH <sub>2</sub>	140.0, CH	138.8, CH	140.2/140.4, CH		
4′ 18.4, CH <sub>3</sub>	12.0, CH <sub>3</sub>	14.8, CH <sub>3</sub>	14.6, CH <sub>3</sub>	14.8, CH <sub>3</sub>		
5'	17.1, CH <sub>3</sub>	12.3, CH <sub>3</sub>	12.0, CH <sub>3</sub>	11.9, CH <sub>3</sub>		
6-OAc-1"					169.1, qC	169.2, qC
2"					21.1, CH <sub>3</sub>	21.1, CH <sub>3</sub>
12-OAc-1'''					170.6, qC	170.7, qC
2′′′					19.9, CH <sub>3</sub>	19.9, CH <sub>3</sub>

3,4',5,7-tetrahydroxyflavanone<sup>18</sup> (3 mg), and (*E*)-4-hydroxycinnamic acid<sup>19</sup> (3 mg).

**Granatumin A (1):** white, amorphous powder;  $[\alpha]^{25}{}_{D}$  +68 (*c* 0.1, Me<sub>2</sub>CO); UV (MeCN)  $\lambda_{max}$  214.5, 283.2 nm; <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR data (Tables 1 and 2); HRTOFMS *m*/*z* 559.2304 [calcd for C<sub>31</sub>H<sub>36</sub>O<sub>8</sub>Na [M + Na]<sup>+</sup>, 559.2302]; HRTOFMS *m*/*z* 537.2509 (calcd for C<sub>35</sub>H<sub>45</sub>O<sub>11</sub> [M + H]<sup>+</sup>, 537.2483).

**Granatumin B (2):** white, amorphous powder;  $[\alpha]^{25}_{D}$  +46 (*c* 0.56, Me<sub>2</sub>CO); UV (MeCN)  $\lambda_{max}$  210.1, 284.1 nm; <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR data (see Tables 1 and 2); HRTOFMS *m*/*z* 575.2609 (calcd for C<sub>32</sub>H<sub>40</sub>O<sub>8</sub>Na [M + Na]<sup>+</sup>, 575.2615).

Absolute Configuration of the 2-Methylbutyryl Group of Granatumin B (2). The hydrolysis of 2 with 6% KOH in water yielded 2-methylbutyric acid. The absolute configuration of its C-2 was suggested to be S by comparison of its specific rotation  $[[\alpha]^{25}_{D} + 16 (c 0.05, Me_2CO)]$  with those reported in the literature.<sup>20–22</sup>



Figure 1. Selected  ${}^{1}H^{-1}H$  COSY and HMBC correlations for granatumin A (1).

**Granatumin C (3):** white, amorphous powder;  $[α]^{25}_{D}$  +20 (*c* 0.22, Me<sub>2</sub>CO); UV (MeCN)  $λ_{max}$  221.6 nm; <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR data (Tables 1 and 2); HRTOFMS *m*/*z* 589.2412 (calcd for C<sub>32</sub>H<sub>38</sub>O<sub>9</sub>Na [M + Na]<sup>+</sup>, 589.2408); HRTOFMS *m*/*z* 567.2599 (calcd for C<sub>36</sub>H<sub>47</sub>O<sub>11</sub> [M + H]<sup>+</sup>, 567.2589).

**Granatumin D (4):** white, amorphous powder;  $[\alpha]_{D}^{25} - 131$  (*c* 0.28, Me<sub>2</sub>CO); UV (MeCN)  $\lambda_{max}$  215.7 nm; <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR data (Tables 1 and 2); HRTOFMS *m*/*z* 577.2786 (calcd for C<sub>32</sub>H<sub>42</sub>O<sub>8</sub>Na [M + Na]<sup>+</sup>, 577.2772).

**Granatumin E (5):** white, amorphous powder;  $[\alpha]^{25}_{D} - 101$  (*c* 0.1, Me<sub>2</sub>CO); UV (MeCN)  $\lambda_{max}$  214.5 nm; <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR data (Tables 1 and 2); HRTOFMS *m*/*z* 607.2520 (calcd for C<sub>32</sub>H<sub>40</sub>O<sub>10</sub>Na [M + Na]<sup>+</sup>, 607.2514).

**Granatumin F (6):** white, amorphous powder;  $[\alpha]^{25}_{D}$  +35 (*c* 0.33, Me<sub>2</sub>CO); UV (MeCN)  $\lambda_{max}$  206.2 nm; <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR data (Tables 1 and 2); HRTOFMS *m*/*z* 699.2263 (calcd for C<sub>33</sub>H<sub>40</sub>O<sub>15</sub>Na [M + Na]<sup>+</sup>, 699.2259).



Figure 2. Diagnostic NOE correlations for granatumin A (1).



Figure 3. Selected <sup>1</sup>H-<sup>1</sup>H COSY and HMBC correlations for granatumin F (6).



Figure 4. Diagnostic NOE correlations for granatumin F (6).

**Granatumin G** (7): white, amorphous powder;  $[\alpha]^{25}_{D}$  +39 (*c* 0.12, Me<sub>2</sub>CO); UV (MeCN)  $\lambda_{max}$  212.1 nm; <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR data (Tables 1 and 2); HRTOFMS m/z 715.2216 (calcd for  $C_{33}H_{40}O_{16}Na [M + Na]^+$ , 715.2209); HRTOFMS m/z 731.1942 (calcd for C<sub>33</sub>H<sub>40</sub>O<sub>16</sub>K [M + K]<sup>+</sup>, 731.1948).

Insecticidal Bioassay. Brontispa longissima (Gestro), popularly named the coconut leaf beetle, is an insect pest of coconut palms in tropical areas. It has become an increasingly serious pest of coconuts throughout various growing regions in the Pacific, especially over the last three decades. Recently it has become an insect pest on Hainan Island, China. The aim of our bioassay was to study limonoids as biopesticidal leads for the control of this pest.

The adult insects of B. longissima were collected from leaves of coconut trees in a coconut field in Danzhou, Hainan Island, China, where pesticides had not been applied. These adult insects were reared and propagated in the laboratory under a controlled photoperiod (12: 12 h light:dark), temperature ( $T = 25 \pm 1$  °C), and relative humidity (RH = 70-80%), and fed daily with coconut leaves until they reached the early stage of the fifth instar larvae, when they were used for insecticidal tests. Three groups, each containing 10 larvae, were used for the insecticidal testing of each compound. Compounds were dissolved in acetone at 20 mg mL<sup>-1</sup>. Wafer discs (1 cm diameter, 1 mm thick), made from coconut leaves, were dipped in acetone solutions of each compound for three seconds and then air-dried for five minutes. After drying, discs were placed in a Petri dish with the fifth instar larvae of B. longissima. Acetone was chosen as the blank control. After 48, 72, or 96 h, the lethal rates of each compound against the fifth instar larvae of B. longissima were calculated.

Acknowledgment. Financial support for this work from the Important Project of the Chinese Academy of Sciences (KSCX2-YW-R-093, KZCX2-YW-216), the National High Technology Research and Development Program of China (863 Program) (2007AA09Z407), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (20772135), and the Research Foundation for Young Talents from the South China Sea Institute of Oceanology, Chinese Academy of Sciences (M.-Y.L., SQ200802) is gratefully acknowledged. M.-Y.L. wishes to thank the Centre for International Mobility (CIMO) and Chinese Academy of Sciences for a scholarship. We are grateful to Mr. T. Srikanth (Acharya Nagarjuna University, Guntur, Andhra Pradesh, India) for help and assistance in the collection and identification of the seeds of X. granatum. We are also thankful to Mr. Mokana Srinivas for his assistance in the field work in collection of the seeds of X. granatum.

Supporting Information Available: HRTOFMS and <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra of compounds 1-7. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

#### **References and Notes**

- (1) Ng, A. S.; Fallis, A. G. Can. J. Chem. 1979, 57, 3088-3089.
- (2) Kubo, I.; Miura, I.; Nakanishi, K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1976, 98, 6704-6705
- Alvi, K. A.; Crews, P.; Aalbersberg, B.; Prasad, R; Simpson, J.; (3)Weavers, R. T. Tetrahedron 1991, 47, 8943-8948.
- (4) Mulholland, D. A.; Parel, B.; Coombes, P. H. Curr. Org. Chem. 2000, 4. 1011-1054.
- (5) Kokpol, U.; Chavasiri, W.; Tip-pyang, S.; Veerachato, G.; Zhao, F. L. Phytochemistry 1995, 41, 903-905.
- Wu, J.; Xiao, Q.; Huang, J. S.; Xiao, Z. H.; Qi, S. H.; Li, Q. X.; (6) Zhang, S. Org. Lett. 2004, 6, 1841-1844.
- (7)Wu, J.; Xiao, Q.; Zhang, S.; Li, X.; Xiao, Z. H.; Ding, H. X.; Li, Q. X. Tetrahedron 2005, 61, 8382-8389.
- (8) Wu, J.; Zhang, S.; Bruhn, T.; Xiao, Q.; Ding, H.-X.; Bringmann, G. *Chem.—Eur. J.* **2008**, *14*, 1129–1144. Wu, J.; Xiao, Q.; Xu, J.; Li, M.-Y.; Pan, J.-Y.; Yang, M.-H. *Nat. Prod.*
- Rep. 2008, 25, 955-981.
- (10) Kadota, S.; Marpaung, L.; Kikuchi, T.; Ekimoto, H. Chem. Pharm Bull. 1990, 38, 639-651.
- (11) Gan, L. S.; Wang, X. N.; Wu, Y.; Yue, J. M. J. Nat. Prod. 2007, 70, 1344-1347.
- (12) Rao, M. M.; Krishna, E. M.; Gupta, P. S.; Singh, P. P. Indian J. Chem, Sect. B 1978, 16, 823-825
- (13) Kadota, S.; Marpaung, L.; Kikuchi, T.; Ekimoto, H. Chem. Pharm. Bull. 1990, 38, 894–901.
- Leite, A. C.; Fernandes, J. B.; Silva, M. F. G. F.; Vieira, P. C. Z. (14)Naturforsch. B 2005, 60b, 351-355.
- (15) Zhou, Y.; Wu, J.; Zou, K. Chem. Nat. Compd. 2007, 43, 426-428.
- (16) Brandt, G. E. L.; Schmidt, M. D.; Prisinzano, T. E.; Blagg, B. S. J. J. Med. Chem. 2008, 51, 6495-6502.
- (17) Fernandez, I.; Pedro, J. R.; Vidal, R. Phytochemistry 1993, 34, 733-736
- (18) Ayafor, J. F.; Connolly, J. D. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 1981, 2563-2565.
- (19) Cho, J. Y.; Moon, J. H.; Seong, K. Y.; Park, K. H. Biosci. Biotech. Biochem. 1998, 62, 2273-2276.
- (20) Meyers, A. I.; Knaus, G.; Kamata, K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1974, 96, 268-270
- (21) Brechbuhler, S.; Büchi, G.; Milne, G. J. Org. Chem. 1967, 32, 2641-2642.
- (22) Korver, O.; Gorkom, M. V. Tetrahedron 1974, 30, 4041-4048.
- Garcez, F. R.; Garcez, W. S.; Roque, N. F.; Castellano, E. E.; Zukerman-Schpector, J. *Phytochemistry* **2000**, *55*, 733–740. (23)
- (24) Zhou, Y.; Cheng, F.; Wu, J.; Zou, K. J. Nat. Prod. 2006, 69, 1083-1085
- (25) Leite, A. C.; Bueno, F. C.; Oliveira, C. G.; Fernandes, J. B.; Vieira, P. C.; da Silva, M. F. D. F.; Bueno, O. C.; Pagnocca, F. C.; Hebling, M. J. A.; Bacci, M. J. Braz. Chem. Soc. 2005, 16, 1391-1395.

NP900625W